



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------|--|------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/045,510 | 10/19/2001 | | Ben-Zion Dolitzky | 1662/54902 | 5381 | |
| 26646 | 7590 | 08/01/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| KENYON | & KENY | ON | BARTS, SAMUEL A | | | |
| ONE BROA NEW YORK | | 0004 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 1.2.7 1011 | 12 1 1 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | | 1621 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 08/01/200 | 5 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/045,510 | DOLITZKY ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Samuel A. Barts | 1621 | |

| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Before the Filling of all Appear Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | | |
| | Samuel A. Barts | 1621 | | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the d | orrespondence add | ress | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>01 July 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | LLOWANCE. | | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | | | |
| | The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no | | | | | | | |
| event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO | | | | | | | | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) | | \ d db | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) | on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) | | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>01 July 2005</u> . A brief i date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl | any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3 | 37(e)), to avoid dismi | ssal of the | | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | | | | | | |
| B. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | because | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet | | educing or simplifying | the issues for | | | | | |
| appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally re | iected claims | | | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | jecteu ciairis. | | | | | | |
| I. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | omnliant Amendmen | (PTOL-324) | | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | | omphant / monamen | (1 102 02 1). | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). | • | , timely filed amendn | nent canceling | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | vill be entered and an | explanation of | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2 and 95-98</u> . | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>3-94</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | | |
| B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b | ut before or on the date of filing a l | Notice of Appeal will | not be entered | | | | | |
| because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a | | | | | |
| 10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | | | | | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by applicants' arguments are not found to be convincing. T of obviousness. | | | | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper | No(s) | | | | | | |
| 3. Other: | | | | | | | | |
| | | Samuel A Barts | | | | | | |
| • | | Primary Eyaminer | • | | | | | |

Art Unit: 1621